

Effect of Ethnicity on the Females' Brain Weight in Northern Iran

Kamran Heidari ¹, Mehrdad Jahanshahi ¹, Mohammad Jafar Gotalipour ^{1*}

1. Department of Anatomical Sciences, School of Medicine, Golestan University of Medical Sciences, Gorgan, Iran.



Mohammad Jafar Gotalipour has MSc and PhD degree in anatomical sciences from Mashhad University of Medical Sciences. His academic degree was promoted to associated professor in 2003 and to the full professor of anatomy in 2007. Now, he is working as a full professor in Gorgan faculty of medicine, also he is director of Gorgan congenital malformations research center and chief editor of "Journal of Gorgan University of Medical Sciences" in Golestan University of medical sciences. He has already published more than 90 articles and presented more than 20 articles.

Article info:

Received: 05 Mar. 2014

Accepted: 10 Jul. 2014

Key Words:

Anthropometry, Brain, Ethnicity, Female, Iran

ABSTRACT

Introduction: Brain weight and cranial capacity are important parameters in the study of racial/ethnic differences. This study was done to determine the effect of ethnicity on the female's brain weight in Northern Iran.

Methods: Brain weight was estimated using linear (Lee-Pearson's) formula in 410 normal 17–20 year old (207 native Fars and 203 Turkman) females in northern Iran. Dimensions of the head measured with spreading caliper and auricular head spanner.

Results: The mean±SD of brain weight in native Fars and Turkmen females were 1258.33±130 and 1270.17±124 grams and 1215, respectively.

Conclusion: This study showed that Brain weight in Turkmen's was higher than native Fars females.

1. Introduction

The dimensions of the head and face are one of the most important items in human anthropology which can be determined by Brain weight and Cranial capacity [1, 2] and are affected by geographical, racial, ethnic, gender and age factors [3-6]. There are some studies on measurements of brain weight and cranial capacity in various parts of the world. Brain weight and cranial capacity can be measured by different methods including MRI, endocranial volume measured from empty skulls, wet brain weight at autopsy, and external head size measurements—all produce the same results [7-13].

Considering the lack of documented study and having realized the importance of acquiring information on brain

weight in living subjects, and having obtained the baseline data, this study was carried out in living subjects by a classic cephalometry method for determining the effect of ethnic factor on the brain weight in normal females aged 17-20 years-old in northern Iran.

2. Materials & Methods

In this study, 410 healthy females of Turkmen group aged 17-20 years old (207 native Fars, 203 Turkmen) living in Gorgan, North of Iran were measured for the head dimensions and their cranial capacities and brain weights were calculated.

Turkmen's population, who have immigrated from central Asia, are living in this area for more than two centuries. Turkmen people have within-group marriages be-

* Corresponding Author:

Mohammad Jafar Gotalipour, PhD

Address: Department of Anatomical Sciences, School of Medicine, Golestan University of Medical Sciences, Gorgan, Iran.

Tel: +98 (17) 32425165 Fax: +98 (21) 32425660

E-mail: mjgotalipour@yahoo.com

cause of their religious and ethnic beliefs. Thus, they are nearly pure ethnic groups.

The native Fars groups are the main and original inhabitants of the Gorgan region who have been selected from among last three generations who have lived in this zone.

Body weight and height were determined in each case. Body weights were measured by spring weighing-machine (Soehnle, Germany) while the individuals were wearing light clothes weighting accurately 1 kg. Measurements were performed with an accuracy of 1 cm. At the same time the following linear dimensions of the head were measured in each individual:

1. Maximum head length (L) (Glabella inion length).
2. Maximum head breath (B) (measured between parietal eminences).

L and B were measured by a spreading caliper.

3. Auricular height (HT) (external acoustic meatus to the highest point of the vertex) was measured using an auricular head spanner.

Each measurement was taken to the nearest millimeter at least three times and the average was considered for computation.

The cranial capacity was calculated using the following formula given by Williams et al. (1995) [3] and Manjunath [14].

Females: $0.000400 (L-11) (B-11) (HT-11) + 206.60 \text{ cm}^3$

Brain weight in grams and cerebral index (CI) were determined by the following formulas: Brain weight=cranial capacity \times 1.035

Where 1.035 is the mass density of the brain [15-17].

The data for each person was recorded in a special form and then analyzed by Epi6. For comparison of the means of anthropometric measurements T student Test ($P=0.05$) was used.

3. Results

Mean \pm SD of Head Length, head width, and auricular height in Native Fars and Turkmen groups are depicted in Table 1. Head Length and auricular height in Turkmens were more than Native Fars females but head width in Turkmens was less than Native Fars.

The Mean \pm SD of Brain weight was 1258.33 \pm 130 grams and 1270.17 \pm 124 grams in Native Fars and Turkmen females, respectively.

The Mean \pm SD of cranial capacity was 1227.22 \pm 120.71 and 1215.78 \pm 125.68 cm^3 respectively without any significant differences.

4. Discussion

In this study, brain weight of Turkmen populations (1270.17 gram) was higher than native Fars females (1258.33 g). According to Nooranipour and Masteri farahani study in Tehran, Capital of Iran, the brain weight of 18-22 years old males was 1203.73 gram [13]. Also, in a study carried out by Bayat and Khosrobeigi in central Arak, the brain weight of 18-26 years old females were determined using cephalometry method (1209.61 gram) [18], while using brain autopsy method Hartman et al. (1994) reported that brain weight was 1198 gram in adult females [19].

Broca (1873) reported that Whites averaged the heavier brains than Blacks with more complex convolutions and larger frontal lobes by using the method of weighting brains at autopsy [20]. The subsequent studies have found an average Black-White difference of about 100 g [21-4].

Some studies have reported that the more White admixture (judged independently from skin color), the greater

Table 1: Head length and width and auricular height in 17-20 year old Native Fars and Turkmen Females in Northern Iran.

	Native Fars	Turkmen
	Mean \pm SD	Mean \pm SD
Head length (mm)	177.01 \pm 7.33	179.31 \pm 7.62
Head width (mm)	150.39 \pm 7.20	148.42 \pm 6.70
Auricular height (mm)	119.72 \pm 8.10	121.16 \pm 0.58

the average brain weight in Blacks [21-23]. Also, Ho et al. (1980) determined in an autopsy study of 1261 American adults, that 811 White Americans averaged 1323 g and 450 Black Americans averaged 1223 g—a difference of 100 g [25]. Since the Blacks and Whites in the study were similar in body size, differences in body size cannot explain apart from the differences in brain weight. The overall size of the human brain (determined by weight) differs by almost 30% among normal subjects [26, 7].

Some studies have demonstrated that the sex difference in brain size remains after correction for body size in a sample of similarly aged men and women [7, 27-29].

Furthermore, Skullerud (1985) reported 110–115 g smaller brain weight in women compared to men even after correction of body size using a body mass index [30].

Also, in this study, the measured cranial capacity of the Turkman was higher than native Fars groups. Other researchers such as Manjunath [31] and Hwang et al. [32] indicated the measurement of cranial capacity in Indian (1117 cm³), Korean (1317 cm³) female populations. Also, Harvey et al. (1994) showed that 41 Africans and West Indians had a smaller average cranial volume than did 67 Caucasians [33].

In other study, Morton (1849) used the method of measuring endocranial volume on 1000 skulls with packing material and found that Blacks averaged about 5 in³ less cranial capacity than Whites [34]. More recently, Beals et al. (1984) carried out the large study in endocranial volume, with measurements of up to 20,000 skulls from all around the world [35]. He reported that East Asians, Europeans, and Africans averaged cranial volumes of 1415, 1362, and 1268 cm³ respectively. The skulls from East Asia were 3 in³ larger than those from Europe, which in turn were 5 in³ larger than those from Africa. Broca (1873) corroborated the Black–White difference using endocranial volume and found that East Asians averaged larger cranial capacities than did Whites [20].

Genetic and racial/ethnic characteristics and environmental factors can affect brain weight and cranial capacity. Regarding the genetic and racial/ethnic factor, anthropometric parameters such as brain weight and cranial capacity have been shown to depend on gene expression [5] since several decades ago; Hooton (1926) reported that racial characteristics are best defined in the skull. Indeed, cranial capacity and subsequently brain weight constitutes one of the most important parameters for determining racial differences [36]. Similarly, Okupe (1984) reported

higher fetal biparietal diameter in Nigerian than Europeans [5].

Furthermore, environmental factors and various ecological conditions may cause changes in head dimensions. Nakashima (1984) showed that head and cranium dimensions of Japanese immigrant children changed over a period of 30 years [37]. The fact that environmental pressures produce noticeable differences between people with respect to the cranial capacity is an important factor in enabling man to adapt to life in diverse environmental conditions [6].

In conclusion, this study showed that Brain weight in Turkmen females was higher than native Fars, so it can be concluded that ethnicity can effect on them.

Acknowledgment

This research is financially supported by the Deputy for Research of Golestan University of Medical Sciences.

References

- [1] Grau V, Alcaniz M, Juan MC, Monserrat C, Knoll C. Automatic localization of cephalometric landmarks. *Journal of Biomedical Informatics*. 2001; 34(3):146–56.
- [2] EL-Feghi I, SID-Ahmad MA, Ahmadi M. Automatic localization of craniofacial landmarks for assisted cephalometry. *Pattern Recognition*. 2004; 37(3):609–621.
- [3] Williams PL, Bannister LH, Berry MM, Collins P, Dyson M, Dussek JE, Ferguson MWJ. *Gray's Anatomy*. Soames RW. Edn 38th. Churchill Livingstone, London; 1995, pp: 607-12.
- [4] Golalipour MJ, Haidari K, Jahanshahi M, Farahani RM. The shapes of head and face in normal male newborns in South-East of Caspian sea (Iran -Gorgan). *Journal of the Anatomical Society of India*. 2003; 52(1):28-31.
- [5] Okupe RF, Coker OO, Gbajumo SA. Assessment of fetal biparietal diameter during normal pregnancy by ultrasound in Nigerian women. *British Journal of Obstetrics and Gynaecology*. 1984; 91:629–632.
- [6] Irmak MK, Korkmaz A, Eroglu O. Selective brain cooling seems to be a mechanism leading to human craniofacial diversity observed in different geographical regions. *Medical Hypotheses*. 2004; 63(6):974–979.
- [7] Dekaban AS, Sadovsky D. Changes in brain weight during the span of human life: relation of brain weights and body heights and body weights. *Annals of Neurology*. 1978; 4(4):345–356.
- [8] Peters M, Jäncke L, Zilles K. Comparison of overall brain volume and midsagittal corpus callosum surface as obtained from NMR scans and direct anatomical measures:

- a within-subject study on autopsy brains. *Neuropsychologia*. 2000; 38(10):1357-1381.
- [9] Svennerholm L, Bostrom K, Jungbjer B. Changes in weight and composition of major membrane components of human brain during the span of adult human life in Sweden. *Acta Neuropathologica*. 1997; 94(4):345-352.
- [10] Rushton JP. *Race, evolution, and behavior: a life history perspective*. New Brunswick (NJ): Transaction, 1995.
- [11] Mayhew TM, Olsen, DR. Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) and model-free brain estimates of forebrain volume determined using the Cavalieri principle. *Journal of Anatomy*. 1991; 178:133-144.
- [12] Cotter D, Miszkiel K, Al-Sarraj S, Wilkinson ID, Paley M, Harrison MJ, et al. The assessment of postmortem brain volume; a comparison of stereological and planimetric methodologies. *Neuroradiology*. 1999; 41(7):493-496.
- [13] Nooranipoor M, Masteri Farahani R. Estimation of cranial capacity and brain weight in 18-22 year old Iranian adults. *Clinical Neurology and Neurosurgery*. 2008; 110(10):997-1002.
- [14] Manjunath KY. Estimation of cranial volume - an overview of methodologies. *Journal of the Anatomical Society of India*. 2002b; 51(1):85-91.
- [15] Courchesne E, Chisum HJ, Townsend J, Cowles A, Covington J, Egaas B, et al. Normal brain development and aging: quantitative analysis at in vivo MR imaging in healthy volunteers. *Radiology*. 2000; 216(3):672-682.
- [16] Frontera JG. Evaluation of the immediate effects of some fixatives upon the measurements of the brains of macaques. *Journal of Comparative Neurology*. 1958; 109(3):417-438.
- [17] Golalipour MJ, Hosseinpour KR. Estimation of the cranial capacity and brain weight of Iranian female newborns. *European Journal of Anatomy* 2006;10(2):49-52.
- [18] Bayat P, Khosrobeigi A. [Relation between cranial capacity and brain weight with body weight and height in 18-26 years old Iranian students (Persian)]. *Journal of Gorgan University of Medical Sciences*. 2015; 17(1):84-88.
- [19] Hartman P, Ramseier A, Gudat F, Mithatsch MJ, Polasek W. Normal weight of the brain in adults in relation to age, sex, body height and weight. *Pathologie*. 1994; 15(3):165-170.
- [20] Broca P. Sur les crânes de la caverne de l'Homme Mort (Loere). *Annual Review of Anthropology*. 1873; 2:1-53.
- [21] Bean RB. Some racial peculiarities of the Negro brain. *American Journal of Anatomy*. 1906; 5(4):353-432.
- [22] Mall FP. On several anatomical characters of the human brain, said to vary according to race and sex, with special reference to the weight of the frontal lobe. *American Journal of Anatomy*. 1909; 9(1):1-32.
- [23] Pearl R. The weight of the Negro brain. *Science*. 1934; 80(2080):431-434.
- [24] Vint FW. The brain of the Kenya native. *Anatomy Journal*. 1934; 48(Pt 2):216-223.
- [25] Ho KC, Roessmann U, Straumfjord JV, Monroe G. Analysis of brain weight: part I and II. *Archives of Pathology & Laboratory Medicine*. 1980; 104(12):635-645.
- [26] Pakkenberg H, Voigt J. Brain weight of the Danes. *Acta Anatomica*. 1964; 56(4):297-307.
- [27] Holloway RL. Within-species brain-body weight variability: a reexamination of the Danish data and other primate species. *American Journal of Physical Anthropology*. 1980; 53(1):109-121.
- [28] Willerman L, Schultz R, Rutledge J, Bigler E. In vivo brain size and intelligence. *Intelligence*. 1991; 15(2):223-238.
- [29] Ankey C. Sex differences in relative brain size: the mismeasure of woman, too? *Intelligence*. 1992; 16(3):329-36.
- [30] Skullerud K. Variations in the size of the human brain. Influence of age, sex, body length, body mass index, alcoholism, Alzheimer changes, and cerebral atherosclerosis. *Acta Neurologica Scandinavica*. 1985; 71(Suppl. 102):1-94.
- [31] Manjunath KY. Estimation of cranial volume in dissecting room cadavers. *Journal of the Anatomical Society of India*. 2002a; 51(2):168-172.
- [32] Hwang Y, Lee KH, Choi B, Lee K S, Lee HY, Sir WS, et al. Study on the Korean adult cranial capacity. *Journal of Korean Medical Science*. 1995; 10(4):239-242.
- [33] Harvey I, Persaud R, Ron MA, Baker G, Murray RM. Volumetric MRI measurements in bipolars compared with schizophrenics and healthy controls. *Psychological Medicine*. 1994; 24(3):689-699.
- [34] Morton SG. Observations on the size of the brain in various races and families of man. In: *proceedings of the academy of natural sciences of Philadelphia*. 1849; 4:221-224.
- [35] Beals KL, Smith CL, Dodd SM. Brain size, cranial morphology, climate, and time machines. *Current Anthropology*. 1984; 25(3):301-330.
- [36] Hooton EA. A method of racial analysis. *Science*. 1926; 63(1621):75-81.
- [37] Nakashima T. Brachycephalization in the head form of school girls in North Kyushu. *Journal of UOEH*. 1986; 8(4): 411-414.